

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,078	03/06/2002	James Turek	13457	8516
75	590 05/21/2003			
Paul F. Donovan, Esq. ILLINOIS TOOL WORKS Corporate Headquarters			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, SON V	
3600 W. Lake Avenue Glenview, IL 60025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2839	

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/092,078 Applicant(s)

Turek et al.

Examiner

Son Nguyen

Art Unit **2839** 



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for R ply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In name mailing date of this communication.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action	on is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance ex closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-22</u>	is/are pending in the applica			
	is/are withdrawn from considera			
5)				
6)	is/are rejected.			
7)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗓 Claims <u>1-22</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem			
Application Papers	and day of the fourth and of closticit requiress			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e aົົົ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this	s Office action.			
12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)□ All b) □ Some* c) □None of:				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have be</li> </ol>	een received.			
2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have be	een received in Application No			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority docur application from the International Bureau (f</li> </ol>	ments have been received in this National Stage			
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the ce	rtified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price				
a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language provisional a	oplication has been received.			
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) []	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	S) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/092,078 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 18-22, drawn to a method of making a connector, classified in class 29.
  - II. Claims 1-17, drawn to an electrical connector, classified in class 439, subclass395.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the electrical connector can be made without forming the base, cover and contact carriers or strips, the connector can be made by different process such as molding of individual contact, base and cover and assembly them together.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. In each group I and II contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

ده الريد

figures 1-5, show a connector with a cover;

figures 6-7, show a coverless connector type; and

figures 8-10, show base, contact and cover carriers/strips.

5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,078

Art Unit: 2839

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

**Contact Information** 

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Son Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-8745.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reach on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone number for this Group is

(703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

SON V. NGUYEN
PATENT EXAMINER

\$16/03

Page 4